

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS Bldg./Room_ ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 Penalty for Private Use, \$300 OFFICIAL BUSINESS P.O. BOX 1450

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



Not Delivershie As Addressed Unable To Forward

D Insufficient Address

D Moved, Left No Address ☐ Unclairhed ☐ Refused

D Atternated - Not Known

☐ No Such Street → Number D Vacant D Illeginte

E BOX Closed No Order O No Mail Hespinde

D Returned For Better Address D Postage Due

> USPTO MAIL CENTER SIOS PO NAL HECEINED

734

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BARBARA GORANSSON
11113 SW SPRINGTREE TERRACE

PORT SAINT LUCIE, FL 34987

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MAILED

DEC 12 2011

In re Patent No. 6,604,324

Issue Date: August 12, 2003

Application No. 09/444,546 Filed: November 22, 1999

Atty. Dkt. No. 29967US1

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b), filed November 6, 2011, to accept an unavoidably delayed payment of a maintenance fee for the above-identified patent.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.378(e) must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No extension of this 2-month time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). Any such petition for reconsideration must be accompanied by the petition fee of \$400 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(f). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

The patent issued August 12, 2003. The 7.5 year maintenance fee could have been paid from August 12, 2010 through February 12, 2011, or with a surcharge during the period from February 13, 2011 through August 12, 2011. Accordingly, the patent expired August 12, 2011, for failure to timely submit the maintenance fee.

A petition to accept the delayed payment of a maintenance fee under 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(b) must be accompanied by (1) an adequate showing that the delay was unavoidable, since reasonable care was taken to ensure that the maintenance fee would be paid timely and that the petition was filed promptly after the patentee was notified of, or otherwise became aware of, the expiration of the patent, (2) payment of the appropriate maintenance fee, unless previously submitted, and (3) payment of the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(i)(1).

This petition lacks item requirement (1) set forth above.

The Director may accept late payment of the maintenance fee if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Director to have been "unavoidable". 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BARBARA GORANSSON 11113 SW SPRINGTREE TERRACE PORT SAINT LUCIE, FL 34987

MAILED

DEC 12 2011

In re Patent No. 6,604,324

Issue Date: August 12, 2003

Application No. 09/444,546 Filed: November 22, 1999

Atty. Dkt. No. 29967US1

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b), filed November 6, 2011, to accept an unavoidably delayed payment of a maintenance fee for the above-identified patent.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.378(e) must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No extension of this 2-month time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). Any such petition for reconsideration must be accompanied by the petition fee of \$400 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(f). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

The patent issued August 12, 2003. The 7.5 year maintenance fee could have been paid from August 12, 2010 through February 12, 2011, or with a surcharge during the period from February 13, 2011 through August 12, 2011. Accordingly, the patent expired August 12, 2011, for failure to timely submit the maintenance fee.

A petition to accept the delayed payment of a maintenance fee under 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(b) must be accompanied by (1) an adequate showing that the delay was unavoidable, since reasonable care was taken to ensure that the maintenance fee would be paid timely and that the petition was filed promptly after the patentee was notified of, or otherwise became aware of, the expiration of the patent, (2) payment of the appropriate maintenance fee, unless previously submitted, and (3) payment of the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(i)(1).

This petition lacks item requirement (1) set forth above.

The Director may accept late payment of the maintenance fee if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Director to have been "unavoidable". 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1).

Patentee appears to attribute the failure to timely pay the second maintenance fee to financial hardship wherein patentee states:

"Due to the present market conditions in which we sell our products, we have been faced with unavoidable cash flow which prevented us from paying this maintenance on time."

The showing of record is inadequate to establish unavoidable delay within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.378(b)(3).

The Director may accept late payment of the maintenance fee if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Director to have been "unavoidable". See, 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1). Acceptance of late payment of a maintenance fee is considered under the same standard as that for reviving an abandoned application under 35 U.S.C. § 133 because 35 U.S.C. § 41(c)(1) uses the identical language, i.e. "unavoidable delay". Ray v. Lehman, 55 F.3d 606, 608-09, 34 USPQ2d 1786, 1787 (Fed. Cir. 1995)(quoting In re Patent No. 4,409,763, 7 USPQ2d 1798, 1800 (Comm'r Pat. 1988)). Decisions on reviving abandoned applications have adopted the "reasonably prudent person" standard in determining if the delay in responding to an Office action was unavoidable. Ex parte Pratt, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 31, 32-33 (Comm'r Pat. 1887)(the term "unavoidable" "is applicable to ordinary human affairs, and requires no more or greater care or diligence than is generally used and observed by prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business"); In re Mattullath, 38 App. D.C. 497, 514-515 (D.C. Cir. 1912); and Ex parte Henrich, 1913 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 139, 141. In addition, decisions on revival are made on a "case-by-case basis, taking all the facts and circumstances into account." Smith v. Mossinghoff, 671 F.2d 533, 538, 213 USPQ 977, 982 (D.C. Cir. 1982). Finally, a petition to revive an application as unavoidably abandoned cannot be granted where a petitioner has failed to meet his or her burden of establishing the cause of the unavoidable delay. Haines v. Quigg, 673 F. Supp. 314, 316-17, 5 USPO2d 1130, 1131-32 (N.D. Ind. 1987).

As 35 U.S.C. § 41(b) requires the payment of the fees at specified intervals to maintain a patent in force, rather than some response to a specific action by the Office under 35 U.S.C. § 133, a reasonably prudent person in the exercise of due care and diligence would have taken steps to ensure the timely payment of such maintenance fees. Ray v. Lehman, 55 F.3d at 609, 34 USPQ2d at 1788. That is, an adequate showing that the delay in payment of the maintenance fee at issue was "unavoidable" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.378(b)(3) requires a showing of the steps taken by the responsible party. Id.

Petitioner must establish that petitioner was aware of the need to pay the maintenance fee, and to that end was tracking it, or had engaged someone to track it before the expiration, but when the fee came due, petitioner was "unavoidably" prevented from making the maintenance fee payment due to financial hardship. Petitioner has failed to satisfy this burden of proof.

Petitioner has failed to establish the existence of financial hardship. Petitioner has provided no documentary evidence of the alleged financial hardship. Further, petition has failed to indicate when such financial hardship commenced and/or ended. Moreover, petitioner has failed to establish a nexus between any alleged financial hardship and the failure to timely remit the maintenance fee payment.

In view of the lack of documentary evidence or detailed declaration of facts, it cannot be found that the entire period of time, from the time that the maintenance fee was due until the filing of a grantable petition, was unavoidable. Accordingly, the petition to reinstate the patent must be dismissed.

Petitioners may request a refund of the previously submitted surcharge and maintenance fee. Petitioners may request a refund by writing to the Finance Office, Refund Section. A copy of this decision should accompany any request for refund.

Any renewed petition must establish that the entire period of delay from the time that the maintenance fee was due until the time of the filing of a grantable petition has been unavoidable. Petitioner is reminded that any renewed petition should entail an exhaustive effort to establish that the failure to timely pay the maintenance fee was unavoidable as after reconsideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.378(e), no further reconsideration regarding unavoidable delay will be undertaken. Petitioner may provide any and all documents and declaration so fact that he wishes the Office to consider prior to making a decision on the request for reinstatement of the patent

ALTERNATE VENUE

Petitioner may wish to consider submitting a petition stating that the failure to timely remit the maintenance fee was unintentional pursuant to 37 CFR 1.378(c). Any petition to accept an unintentionally delayed payment of a maintenance fee filed under paragraph (a) of this section must be filed within twenty-four months after the six-month grace period provided in § 1.362(e) and must include: (1)The required maintenance fee set forth in § 1.20 (e) through (g); (2) The surcharge set forth in § 1.20(i)(2); and (3) A statement that the delay in payment of the maintenance fee was unintentional.

Enclosed please find as a courtesy a copy of PTO/SB/66. Petitioner is advised that the surcharge required under 37 CFR 1.378(c)(2) is currently \$1,640.00 and **is not** subject to waiver. The small entity 7.5 year maintenance fee is currently \$1,425.00. The effective date of fee increase is September 26, 2011. If desired, the previously submitted fee totaling \$2,880.00 can be used in connection with a petition filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.378(c). However, any request for reconsideration would require the submission of the remaining fee due.

The file does not indicate a change of address has been submitted, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a change of address should be filed in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record. Enclosed please find PTO/SB/123 which may be used to effect a proper change in correspondence address before the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3205.

/ALESIA M. BROWN/

Alesia M. Brown Attorney Advisor Office of Petitions

Enclosures

PTO/SB/123 (11-08) Approved for use through 11/30/2011. OMB 0651-0035

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

CHANGE OF CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS Patent

Address to: Mail Stop Post Issue Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

equited to respond to a collection	on of information unless it displays a valid Olvis control number.
Patent Number	
Issue Date	
Application Number	
Filing Date	
First Named Inventor	
Attorney Docket Number	

Please change the Correspondence Address for the above-ic	lentified patent to:		
The address associated with Customer Number:			
OR			
Firm or Individual Name		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		•	
Address			
City	State	ZIP	
Country			
Telephone	Email		
This form cannot be used to change the data associated with a Customer Number. To change the data associated with an existing Customer Number use "Request for Customer Number Data Change" (PTO/SB/124). This form will not affect any "fee address" provided for the above-identified patent. To change a "fee address" use the "Fee Address Indication Form" (PTO/SB/47).			
I am the:			
Patentee.			
Assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96).			
Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number			
Signature			
Typed or Printed Name			
Date	Telephone		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the e if more than one signature is required, see below*.	ntire interest or their representative(s) a	are required. Submit multiple forms	
*Total of forms are submitted.			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.33. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 3 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Post Issue, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of
 presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to
 opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

TITION TO ACCEPT UNINTENTIONALLY DELAYED PAYMENT OF LINTENANCE FEE IN AN EXPIRED PATENT (37 CFR 1.378 (c))			
C P Al	lail Stop Petition ommissioner for Patents .O. Box 1450 lexandria, VA 22313-1450 ax: (571) 273-8300		
NOTE: If i	nformation or assistance is needed	d in completing this form, please contact Petitions	s Information at (571) 272-328
Patent No.		Application Number	
Issue Date		Filing Date	
	reissue patent number, if a reiss reissue application) leading to is correct patent. 37 CFR 1.366(c)	. ,	J.S. application (or
	olete the following information, i	if applicable	
The above	- identified patent		•
	Is a reissue of original Pate	ent No original issu	e date
	original application number	· ·	
	original filing date		
	1	•	
	resulted from the entry into	the U.S. under 35 U.S.C. 371 of international ap	plication
	filed on	<u> </u>	
	CERTIF	ICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.89(a))	
United States Mail Stop Peti	Postal Service on the date shown	paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is below with sufficient postage as first class main in O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or fact hown below.	in an envelope addressed to
	Date .	Signature	
		Typed or Printed Name of Person	

[page 1 of 3]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.378(c). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450**

PTO/SB/66 (03-09)

Approved for use through 03/31/2012. OMB 0651-0016

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

	1. SMALL ENTITY				
	Patentee claims, or has previously claimed, small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.				
	2. LOSS OF ENTITLEMENT TO SMALL ENTITY STATUS				
\	Patentee is no longer entitled to sn	nall entity status	s. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)		
	3. MAINTENANCE FEE (37 CFR 1.20(e)-(g))				
	The appropriate maintenance fee must be submitted with this petition, unless it was paid earlier.				
Γ	NOT Small Entity Small Entity				
L	Amount Fee	(Code)	Amount	Fee	(Code)
	\$ 3 ½ yr fee	(1551)	\$	_ 3 ½ yr fee	(2551)
	\$7 ½ yr fee	(1552)		7 ½ yr fee	(2552)
	\$11 ½ yr fee	(1553)	\$	_11 ½ yr fee	(2553)
			MAINTENANCE FEE	BEING SUBMITTED	\$
•	4. SURCHARGE	4.00(5)(0) -5.0		- O- d- 4550)	h
	The surcharge required by 37 CFR condition of accepting unintentional	lly delayed pay	ment of a maintenance fe	e. Code 1558) must i e.	be paid as a
			SURCHARGE FEE	BEING SUBMITTE	D\$
	5. MANNER OF PAYMENT				
	Enclosed is a check for the sum of	\$			
	Endosed is a directifier the sum of	Ψ			
	Please charge Deposit Account No	o	the sum of \$		<u> </u>
	Payment by credit card. Form PT0	0-2038 is attach	ned.		
6. AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE ANY FEE DEFICIENCY					
The Director is hereby authorized to charge any maintenance fee, surcharge or petition deficiency to Deposit Account No					
	·				

PTO/SB/66 (03-09)

Approved for use through 03/31/2012. OMB 0651-0016

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

7. (OVERPAYMENT	
	As to any overpayment made please	
OR	Credit to Deposit Account No.	·
	Send refund check	
	WAR	NING:
to identity the check or cree petition or are should consi advised that request in coabandoned a (see 37 CFR	oplicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information such as social security number of the card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payron application. If this type of personal information is including indexention is included in the count of the personal information from the documn the record of a patent application is available to the publication with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application application may also be available to the public if the app	ation in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute rs, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a ment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a ded in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants nents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is blic after publication of the application (unless a non-publication on or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an lication is referenced in a published application or an issued patent 0-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the
8.	STATEMENT	
	The delay in payment of the maintenance fee to the	nis patent was unintentional.
9.	PETITIONER(S) REQUEST THAT THE DELAYED PAY PATENT REINSTATED Signature(s) of Petitioner(s)	MENT OF THE MAINTENANCE FEE BE ACCEPTED AND THE Date
_	Typed or printed name(s)	Registration Number, if applicable
_	Telephone Number	
_		Address
	,	-uui ess
_		Address
E		ection must be signed by an attorney or agent registered to or by the patentee, the assignee, or other party in interest."
	Maintenance Fee Payment	
•	Surcharge under 37 CFR 1.20(i)(2) (fee for	or filing the maintenance fee petition)

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.